OCT 3 0 2007

PTO/SB/21 (04-07) Approved for use through 09/30/2007. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

T	<b>RA</b>	NS	MI	T	T/	٩L
		FO	RI	И		

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

otal Number of Pages in This Submission

Application Number	10/630,089
Filing Date	July 30, 2003
First Named Inventor	Nobuyuki Ito et al.
Art Unit	2879
Examiner Name	Sikha Roy
Attornov Docket Number	1300,000001

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal F	orm	☐ Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)		
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment / Rep	bly	Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			Proprietary Information		
Affidavits/dec	laration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			Status Letter		
Extension of Time	Request	Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
Express Abandon	ment Request	Request for Refund  CD, Number of CD(s)		_	Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance; Return Receipt Postcard		
Information Disclosure Statement							
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account No. 08-0750. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.					
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application							
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53							
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT							
Firm Name	Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.						
Signature	Signature Winder The						
Printed name	Printed name Michael E. Hilton						
Date October 30, 2007			Reg. No.	33,509			
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING							

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.

Typed or printed name	Michael E. Hilton	Express Mail Label No.	EM 061 813 975 US (10/30/2007)
Ciamatura	1 100	Data	Ostabas 20, 2007

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.3. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

10/630,089

Filing Date:

July 30, 2003

Applicant:

Nobuyuki Ito et al.

Group Art Unit:

2879

Examiner:

Sikha Roy

Title:

ELECTROLUMINESCENT

DISPLAY

AND

PROCESS FOR PRODUCING THE SAME

Attorney Docket:

1300-000001

Commissioner of the United States Patent Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

## COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear the Examiner's reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. 1.104 (e). In the present case, Applicant believes the record as a whole does make clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, Applicant does not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. While Applicant believes the claims are allowable, Applicant does not acquiesce that patentability resides solely in the specific feature or combination of features identified, or that each feature or

combination of features identified is required for patentability, or that equivalents of any of the recited features are outside the scope of the claims. Moreover, to the extent the Reasons For Allowance do not separately address the additional subject matter of Claims 3, 7, and 8, Applicants do not acquiesce to any inference that these claims do not present patentable subject matter independent of the patentability of Claim 1.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 30, 2007

Michael E. Hilton

Reg. No. 33,509

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600

MEH/jks